

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Sung-wook PARK et al.

Application No. 10/822,772

Group Art Unit: 2175

Confirmation No. 3188

Filed: April 13, 2004

Examiner: Stephen D. Alvesteffer

For: INFORMATION STORAGE MEDIUM CONTAINING INTERACTIVE GRAPHICS
STREAM, AND REPRODUCING APPARATUS AND METHOD THEREOF (As
Amended)

APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Interview Summary mailed April 24, 2009, for the personal interview conducted on April 21, 2009, by the Examiner, Stephen D. Alvesteffer; the Examiner's supervisor, Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) William L. Bashore; the undersigned attorney, Randall S. Svihla; and Kwan-shik Yang and Kil-soo Jung of Samsung Electronics, Ltd., the assignee of the present application, is acknowledged. The applicants' statement of the substance of the interview required by the Interview Summary and MPEP 713.04 appears below.

The Interview Summary provides the following statement of substance of the interview:

Claim(s) discussed: 33,35 and 37-44.

Identification of prior art discussed: Suzuki (US 6,301,638),
Abecassis (US 6,289,165).

Agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

Substance of Interview: Applicants' representatives described the invention and how it distinguishes from the cited prior art of record.

Upon cursory review, the amended claims appear to overcome the prior art rejections of record. Further search and consideration of arguments will be made. Examiner will also amend the title of

the invention and consider all submitted Information Disclosure Statements.

During the interview, the attorney went over the arguments on pages 6-18 of the Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination of February 17, 2009, and Mr. Jung provided some explanations to help the Examiner and the supervisor understand the invention. The Examiner and the supervisor stated that the applicants' arguments appeared to be persuasive, but they would need to consider the arguments in greater detail before making a final decision.

The Examiner subsequently issued an Office Action mailed April 28, 2009, in which the Examiner withdrew all of the previous rejections of the claims, but rejected all of the claims over a new reference.

The applicants will file a response to the Office Action of April 28, 2009, in due course.


Respectfully submitted,

STEIN MCEWEN, LLP

Date:

05/15/09

By:



Randall S. Svihla
Registration No. 56,273

1400 Eye St., NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 216-9505
Facsimile: (202) 216-9510